posed of two zurnas and a tapan, and the zurnas are claimed to have traditionally been two big kaba zurnas (called Razlog or Velingrad zurnas) or a big and a small (of a Persian type) kaba zurna. Recently Razlog zurnacies have come to prefer Petrich dzhura zurnas in a formation that consists of two zurnas (maestro and glashnik) and tapanci.

In traditional Razlog style low register is preferred and used more often than the high one. Drone is not typical for it and the division of *maestro* and *glashnik* is unknown. The leading *zurnaci* chooses the songs while his follower accompanies him usually by heterophony and imitation or rather rarely by parallelism and drone.

Today Razlog style goes astray from that polyphony which is manifested in the characteristic of the other two styles heterophony, parallelism and drone. Listening to old recordings of Razlog zurnacies – formations of two zurnas and a tapan – makes it clear that the zurnas play most often in unison which in some moments alternates for a while with octave doubling. Sometimes, though rarely, the second zurnaci imitates or repeats the maestro's melody with a slight delay of several tones. Incidentally Razlog zurnacies play in parallel thirds or drone accompaniment to the melody. The young zurnacies, who prefer Petrich zurnas, most often play in high register, with overblowing the zurna, continuous breathing and technical virtuosity. Drone is predominant and the maestro plays the melody with rich improvisations. Playing is in unison or as well in thirds.

The traditional local repertoire includes the melodies of Razlog folklore songs and horos, old ritual samples and both Christian and Muslim rite melodies. Similarly to the other styles, a great part of the contemporary repertoire is unified: the modern ethnopop hits, most often danced as köceks are performed by zurnacies from all the three regions.

## Old and new styles

In spite of its traditionality, the *zurnaci* style is not created once and forever. In every performance act the *zurnacies* of today introduce changes in their style, constantly renewing and developing it. The musicians often talk about modern digressions from some traditional norms of the *zurnaci* style.

Despite the clear manifestation of the individual approach in the formation of the zurnaci style, one can talk of impersonal, group styles, which also stand beyond the local characteristics of the zurnaci style. To cut the long story short, they are connected with the zurnacies' concept of the meaning of their music, with their aesthetic views, which reflect the tastes and values of the age. In diachronic aspect, two zurnacies styles can be established in relation to the oppositions of old-new, traditional-modern, soft-hard, old players-young players. A similar style differentiation in Bulgarian music has been attested in relation to the opposition of folklore versus wedding music. The former has clear acoustic tone, authentic repertoire and sweet sound; while the latter has mixed repertoire, played with passion, in staccato, with syncope and not good for dancing [Rice, 1996:187-194].

The old zurnaci style as a manner of performance is characterized by soft, broad playing — i.e. the zurnacies play legato, with mordents, in tempo moderato, binding their performance with dancing. As for the repertoire, the old style includes traditional music: mainly Bulgarian, with a mixture of fewer Turkish and Roma folklore melodies. In the last ten years a new, modern style has been coming to predominate. It is presented by the young players, born after the 1960s. They play hard and sharp, with strain and overblowing, prefer the staccato and play as fast as they can, often ignoring the dance quality of the